

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/005,731	BEGHTEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Albert Wang	2115	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Albert Wang.

(3) _____.

(2) David J. McKenzie (Reg. No. 46,919).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 8 is to be canceled and claim 16 is to be amended by inserting "stored in a computer-readable medium" after each instance of "computer program instructionst"

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

THOMAS LEE
SUSAN LEE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)